

2

SECTION 131 FORM

File With \_\_\_\_\_

Appeal NO: ABP 314485

TO: SEO

Defer Re O/H ☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 23/12/24  
from

Mr John Walsh I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000  
be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new issues

E.O.: [Signature]

Date: 2/1/25

To EO: \_\_\_\_\_

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐

Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

S.A.O.: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

M \_\_\_\_\_

Please prepare BP \_\_\_\_\_ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached  
submission

to: \_\_\_\_\_

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP \_\_\_\_\_

EO: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

AA: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

File With \_\_\_\_\_

**CORRESPONDENCE FORM**Appeal No. ABP 314485Please treat correspondence received on 23/12/24 as follows:

. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant \_\_\_\_\_

. Acknowledge with BP 23. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP \_\_\_\_\_

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐

Amendments/Comments

Resp Recd

## 4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(b) GIS Processing ☒(c) Processing ☒(d) Screening ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐RETURN TO EO ☐

	Plans Date Stamped <input type="checkbox"/>
	Date Stamped Filled in <input type="checkbox"/>
EO: <u>[Signature]</u>	AA: <u>F. Watson</u>
Date: <u>21/1/25</u>	Date: <u>21/1/25</u>

## **Suzanne Cleary**

---

**From:** John Walsh <John.Walsh@cllrs.fingal.ie>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 23, 2024 5:27 PM  
**To:** Appeals2  
**Subject:** Submission by Cllr John Walsh

**Caution:** This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

**PL06F.314485**

**In my original submission I raised the following issues and do not believe that these issues were adequately addressed.**

- **WHO noise guidelines strongly recommend reducing night noise exposure levels produced by aircraft during night time to below 40 db Lnight, as it states that aircraft noise above this level is associated with adverse effects on sleep. The HSE has indicated that a significant proportion of people, including 19,464 people assessed as ‘highly annoyed’ by aircraft noise and 281 people exposed to at least a high level of noise based on the current 2025 baseline scenario, will still be exposed to airline noise at night above the WHO recommendation of 40 Lnight. This is excessive, unreasonable and dangerous to the health of people and communities living close to the Airport.**
- **The proposed increase in hours and the removal of the 65 flight cap severely compromises the residential amenity of residents living in the flight path of aircraft, especially in St Margaret’s, the Ward and Hollystown.**
- **The change from a numerical cap to a noise quota system effectively gives the DAA a high level of discretion in deciding on the number of flights and could allow a potentially unlimited number of flights throughout the night, causing great distress to residents.**
- **The proposal for an additional two hours in which flights may take off or land from the north runway is unreasonable, and allows flights to take off in the middle of the night which has a disproportionate and unreasonable impact on residents.**

Cllr John Walsh  
Labour Party - Dublin West  
Castleknock electoral area  
Board member, Dublin and Dun Laoghaire Education and Training Board  
087-6486228  
[John.walsh@cllrs.fingal.ie](mailto:John.walsh@cllrs.fingal.ie)